

Reference Number	Matter	Action Point	Responsibility	Timescale	Document Number
AP1	1	Council to upload a copy of the opening statement from Cllr Hugh Mason onto examination library	PCC	06-Oct	PCC37
AP2	1	Council to provide clarification on whether Policies PLP1 and PLP2 should either be strategic policies or Development Management Policies (potential Main Modification) to be discussed in policy session	PCC	To be confirmed at relevant hearing	
AP3	1	Council to review Policies PLP23, 36, 50 and 52 and confirm whether they should be strategic policies (potential Main Modifications) to be discussed in policy session	PCC	To be confirmed at relevant hearing	
AP4	1	References to the duty to cooperate throughout the Plan should be omitted, and replaced with co-operation more broadly or use the appropriate wording from the 2026 Framework (potential Main Modification)	PCC	End of hearings	
AP5	1	Apart from documents PCC16, PCC33 and PCC34, are there any other key evidence based studies (post-submission) that hasn't been consulted on	PCC	06/10/2026	
AP6	1	Council to upload a copy of the new SCG with Havant onto the examination library	PCC	06-Oct	PCC36
AP7	1	Council to produce a Position Statement setting out the Council's updated position regarding the bridge, including any changes that may be required to the Plan to change the wording regarding the provision of the bridge	PCC	w/c 12-10-26	PCC42
AP8	1	Council to confirm the relevant sections of document SS28 re compensation of functionally linked land	PCC	06-Oct	SS28
AP9	1	Council to confirm the relevant documents regarding nutrient neutrality and, if necessary, upload any new evidence onto the examination library	PCC	06-Oct	PCC38
AP10	1	Council to update the Inspectors when the Call for Sites 2026 closes on the 2nd November 2026	PCC	After 02-11-26	
AP11	1	Position statement on PLP11 by start/middle of next week alongside note re bridge on HRA perspective. These can be combined or separated (carried over from 6/10). To be combined with AP7.	PCC	w/c 12-11-26	PCC43
AP12	2	Update second row of Table 6.1 - net completions to 31 March 2026 and clarify what 241 dwellings refers to. Does it exclude sites in Appendix 2 of plan - make this clear.	PCC	End of hearings	
AP13	2	MM re unmet need to Winchester 150 dwellings - update figure from 148	PCC	End of hearings	
AP14	2	Consider implications of towers being treated as windfalls rather than reflected in the plan.	PCC	08-Oct	
AP15	2	How many permissions and permissions lapsed /non implementation for housing (years 2017 - to 2022/23) Do not need 15/16. Inspector requires breakdown for each year. Add in details re: Covid and implementation for permissions being affected by nitrates (i.e. affects implementation timescale in permission). Add any of the Council's reflections on this as a narrative.	PCC	w/c 12-11-26	
AP16	2	Provide figures for 25/26 windfalls. Upload circulated note to website. Inspector to consider if this should be a MM.	PCC	07/10/2026	
AP17	2	Inspector to consider if evidence justifies uplift in windfalls from 79 to 87	PCC		
AP18	2	Add footnote to Table 6.1 referencing HMOs and student accommodation ratios. State 2.4 and 1.9: MM	PCC		
AP19	2	10% growth for university student numbers in next 3-4 years. Where has this figure come from? Strategy or plan to be referenced for inspector.	PCC	in advance of week 3	
AP20	2	Para 6.89 of Plan references needs assessment for military. Can this be made publicly available? If yes, Inspector would like to see it. Yes - in HEDNA 2023 [HO01], para 11.102, footnote 60 (page 194) contains the link to the assessment.	PCC	in advance of week 3	
AP21	2	PLP 16. Add word "average" as a MM.	PCC	return matter 14 - housing land supply	
AP23	2	Inspector to consider if PLP16 part 3 should include "provided where in general conformity with the Portsmouth Plan". Possible MM.	PCC		
AP24	2	Housing requirement rather than housing target. A minimum of X from 1 April 2025 to 31 March 2040. References to minimum requirements.	PCC	End of hearings	
AP25	2	Make clear Table 6.1 are estimates	PCC	End of hearings	

AP26	2	To clarify that unmet needs are being sought rather than "will".	PCC		
AP27	2	Contributions have been secured for Winchester and Fareham? Addressed in modifications - check?	PCC		
AP28	2	Is Table 6.1 sufficiently clear re supported sites in city centre. Direct to sites in housing trajectory?	PCC		
AP29	2	Para A192 - why does it signpost to background papers? Should this be reflected more clearly if for decision making purposes? Inspector considers it should be made clear that this is background information.	PCC	End of hearings	
AP30	3	PCC03 - update table. Table 7.1 retain last row in modification with updated figures. PLP25 - references in supporting text that are not in mods need to be added. Review for consequential changes.	PCC	End of hearings	
AP31	3	Not explicitly stated that oversupply is for HBC. Can add to Policy? Para A11 and A214 need to be more precise. Inspector thinks this should be articulated in policy and relevant paras in chapters 3 and 7 . Suggested MM.	PCC	End of hearings	
AP32	3	Make sure there is clear reference to HEDNA and update and add to supporting text as necessary	PCC	w/c 05-10-26	
AP33	3	Get back to inspector re evidence on intensification references in the PCC answers to the MIQ	PCC	w/c 05-10-26	
AP34	3	TE05 strategy is referred to but no policies say this needs to be taken onto account in applications. MM for para A205.	PCC		
AP35	4	Additional sentences to the supporting text to PLP1 on the intention behind the Design Vision, and how it feeds into the other policies (MM)	PCC	End of hearings	
AP36	4	Amend supporting text to provide clarity on how the Design Vision is not used in decision making (MM)	PCC	End of hearings	
AP37	4	Make clear how the strategic site allocation visions feed into the site allocation policies (MM). Add to each policy	PCC	End of hearings	
AP38	4	Provide the Inspectors with an updated version of the timetable for the Future Portsmouth Plan at the end of the hearing sessions (week 4)	PCC	week 4	
AP40	4	Foreword of the Plan to be updated, likely through an additional mod. Council suggested producing a draft to share with the Inspectors.	PCC	End of hearings	
AP41	5 - Issue 1	Send the updated SCG with the ICB to the Inspectors and upload onto the examination library	PCC	09-Oct	PCC40
AP42	5 - Issue 1	Review transport-related items in Appendix 2 of the IDP which are currently defined as 'critical infrastructure'	PCC	Council to provide a note ahead of matter 7	PCC44
AP43	5 - Issue 1	Add detail to the supporting text for the strategic sites and site allocation policies (PLP3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10 11) to guide decision makers on where they can find detail on developer contributions applicable to the site related infrastructure projects, such as signposting to the relevant sections of the evidence base (MM)	PCC	End of hearings	
AP44	5 - Issue 1	Inspectors suggest to add "where relevant" at the start of Policy PLP50 (criterion 1) to provide a level of flexibility - Council to review	PCC	End of hearings	
AP45	5 - Issue 1	Criterion 1b of PLP50 - 'whole life cost of infrastructure provision' - Inspectors suggest additional supporting text re the Council's expectations regarding this (MM)	PCC	End of hearings	
AP46	7	Masterplan/key documents for City Centre North ahead of matter 7	PCC	w/c 12-10-26	PCC41