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1. Executive Summary

Introduction and Methodology

1.1 The primary objective of this Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) is to provide a robust assessment of current and future need for Portsmouth City Council (the Council).

1.2 As well as updating any previous GTAA, the principal reason for completing the study was the publication of a revised version of Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) in August 2015. This included a change to the definition of Travellers for planning purposes. The key change that was made was the removal of the term persons...who have ceased to travel permanently, meaning that those who have ceased to travel permanently will not now fall under the planning definition of a Traveller for the purposes of assessing accommodation need in a GTAA (see Paragraph 2.8 for full definition).

1.3 The GTAA provides a credible evidence base which can be used to aid the preparation and implementation of Local Plan Policies and the provision of new Gypsy and Traveller pitches and Travelling Showpeople plots for the Local Plan period up to 2036, with a break at 2033 to meet the 15-year period required by the PPTS. The outcomes of this study supersede the need figures of any previous Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Needs Assessments completed in the study area.

1.4 The GTAA has sought to understand the accommodation needs of the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population in the study area through a combination of desk-based research, stakeholder interviews and efforts to engage with members of the Travelling Community.

1.5 The study was completed between April and July 2018 and the baseline date for the study is July 2018.

1.6 A Glossary of Terms can be found in Appendix A.

Key Findings

Additional Pitch and Plot Needs

1.7 Overall, the additional pitch and plot needs for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople from 2018-2033/36 are set out below. Additional needs are set out for those households that met the planning definition of a Traveller; for those unknown households where an interview was not able to be completed who may meet the planning definition; and for those households that did not meet the planning definition (even though this is no longer a requirement for a GTAA).

1.8 Only the need from those households who meet the planning definition and from those of the unknown households who subsequently demonstrate that they meet it should be considered as need arising from the GTAA.

1.9 The need arising from households that meet the planning definition should be addressed through site allocation Local Plan Policies.
1.10 The Council will need to carefully consider how to address the needs associated with any unknown Travellers as it is unlikely that all of this need will need to be addressed through the provision of conditioned Traveller pitches or plots. In terms of Local Plan Policies, the Council should consider the use of a criteria-based policy (as suggested in PPTS) for any unknown households, as opposed to making a specific allocation in Local Plan Policies.

1.11 In general terms, the need for any households who do not meet the planning definition will be addressed as part of general housing need and through separate Local Plan Policies (including any plans that have already been adopted, as all Travellers will have been included as part of the overall Objectively Assessed Need - OAN).

1.12 This approach is specifically referenced in the revised National Planning Policy Framework (July 2018). Paragraph 60 of the NPPF sets out that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic plans should be based upon a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance. Paragraph 61 then states that ‘Within this context, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, travellers, people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes’ . The footnote to this section states that ‘Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers’ housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document.’ It is understood that consultation on Changes to planning policy and guidance including the standard method for assessing local housing need that was issued by MHCLG in October 2018 will not change this.

1.13 It is recognised that the Council already has in place an adopted Local Plan that sets out overall housing need. When this plan is reviewed, or new plans prepared, the findings of this report should be considered as part of future housing mix and type within the context of the assessment of overall housing need.

Summary of Outcomes

1.14 There were no Gypsy and Traveller sites or Travelling Showmen’s yards identified in Portsmouth. There was one small unauthorised encampment identified at Ferry Road adjacent to the RNLI Lifeboat Station but none of the residents were found to be Gypsies or Travellers.

1.15 Despite all the efforts that were made during the course of the GTAA no households were identified to interview living in bricks and mortar, despite a small number of households being identified in the 2011 Census.

1.16 As such there is no current or future need for additional pitches or plots in Portsmouth over the GTAA period to 2036.

Transit Recommendations

1.17 There have historically been a very low number of unauthorised encampments in Portsmouth. No further encampments were recorded during the GTAA fieldwork period, other than the long-term encampment at Ferry Road occupied by non-Travellers.

1.18 As such it is recommended that there is no need for any transit provision in Portsmouth at this time. However, the situation relating to levels of unauthorised encampments should be monitored whilst any
potential changes associated with PPTS (2015) develop. This information should be collected as part of a Welfare Assessment (or equivalent).

1.19 The way in which Welfare Assessments are carried out varies between local authorities, however, to provide robust input into the assessment of need for any future transit provision, it is recommended that the Council should consider collecting the following information:

» Numbers of households, caravans and other vehicles.
» Reasons why households are in the local area.
» How long households are planning to stay in the local area.
» Whether households have a permanent place of resident elsewhere.
» Where households have come from and where they are planning to move on to next.
» Whether households would consider staying on a transit site if one were available.
» Whether households have permanent accommodation need in the local area.

1.20 In the short-term the Council could consider the use of management arrangements for dealing with unauthorised encampments and could also consider the use of Negotiated Stopping Agreements, as opposed to taking forward an infrastructure-based approach.

1.21 The term ‘negotiated stopping’ is used to describe agreed short-term provision for Gypsy and Traveller caravans. It does not describe permanent ‘built’ transit sites but negotiated agreements which allow caravans to be sited on suitable specific pieces of ground for an agreed and limited period of time, with the provision of limited services such as water, waste disposal and toilets. Agreements are made between the authority and the (temporary) residents regarding expectations on both sides.

1.22 Temporary stopping places can be made available at times of increased demand due to fairs or cultural celebrations that are attended by Gypsies and Travellers. A charge may be levied as determined by the local authority although they only need to provide basic facilities including: a cold-water supply; portaloos; sewerage disposal point and refuse disposal facilities.
2. Introduction

The Study

2.1 The primary objective of this Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) is to provide a robust assessment of current and future need for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation in Portsmouth City Council. The outcomes of this study supersede the outcomes of any previous Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Needs Assessments completed in the study area.

2.2 As well as updating the previous GTAA, the principal reason for completing the study was the publication of a revised version of Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) in August 2015. This introduced a new planning definition for Travellers.

2.3 The study provides an evidence base to enable the Council to comply with their requirements towards Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople under the Housing Act 1985, Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) 2014 (and as amended), PPTS (2015), the Housing and Planning Act 2016, and the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2018.

2.4 The GTAA is a robust and credible evidence base which can be used to aid the preparation and implementation of Local Plan Policies and the provision of Traveller pitches and plots covering the Local Plan period to 2036, with a break at 2033 to meet the 15-year requirement in the PPTS. As well as identifying current and future permanent accommodation needs, it also seeks to assess any need for the provision of transit sites or emergency stopping places.

2.5 We would note at the outset that the study covers the needs of Gypsies (including English, Scottish, Welsh and Romany Gypsies), Irish Travellers, New (Age) Travellers, and Travelling Showpeople, but for ease of reference we have referred to the study as a Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA).

2.6 The study was completed between April and July 2018 and the baseline date for the study is July 2018.

Definitions

2.7 The current planning definition for a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson is set out in PPTS (2015). The previous housing definition set out in the Housing Act (2004) was repealed by the Housing and Planning Act (2016).

The Planning Definition in PPTS (2015)

2.8 For the purposes of the planning system, the definition was changed in PPTS (2015). The planning definition is set out in Annex 1 and states that:

“For the purposes of this planning policy “gypsies and travellers” means:

Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family’s or dependants’ educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excluding members of an organised group of travelling showpeople or circus people travelling together as such.”
In determining whether persons are “gypsies and travellers” for the purposes of this planning policy, consideration should be given to the following issues amongst other relevant matters:

a) Whether they previously led a nomadic habit of life.
b) The reasons for ceasing their nomadic habit of life.
c) Whether there is an intention of living a nomadic habit of life in the future, and if so, how soon and in what circumstances.

For the purposes of this planning policy, “travelling showpeople” means:

Members of a group organised for the purposes of holding fairs, circuses or shows (whether or not travelling together as such). This includes such persons who on the grounds of their own or their family’s or dependants’ more localised pattern of trading, educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excludes Gypsies and Travellers as defined above.

(Planning Policy for Traveller Sites, Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG), August 2015)

2.9 The key change that was made to both definitions was the removal of the term persons...who have ceased to travel permanently, meaning that those who have ceased to travel permanently will no longer fall under the planning definition of a Traveller for the purposes of assessing accommodation need in a GTAA.

Definition of Travelling

2.10 One of the most important questions that GTAAs will need to address in terms of applying the planning definition is what constitutes travelling? This has been determined through case law that has tested the meaning of the term ‘nomadic’.

2.11 R v South Hams District Council (1994) – defined Gypsies as “persons who wander or travel for the purpose of making or seeking their livelihood (not persons who travel from place to place without any connection between their movements and their means of livelihood).” This includes ‘born’ Gypsies and Travellers as well as ‘elective’ Travellers such as New Age Travellers.

2.12 In Maidstone BC v Secretary of State for the Environment and Dunn (2006), it was held that a Romany Gypsy who bred horses and travelled to horse fairs at Appleby, Stow-in-the-Wold and the New Forest, where he bought and sold horses, and who remained away from his permanent site for up to two months of the year, at least partly in connection with this traditional Gypsy activity, was entitled to be accorded Gypsy status.

2.13 In Greenwich LBC v Powell (1989), Lord Bridge of Harwich stated that a person could be a statutory Gypsy if he led a nomadic way of life only seasonally.

2.14 The definition was widened further by the decision in R v Shropshire CC ex p Bungay (1990). The case concerned a Gypsy family that had not travelled for some 15 years in order to care for its elderly and infirm parents. An aggrieved resident living in the area of the family’s recently approved Gypsy site sought judicial review of the local authority’s decision to accept that the family had retained their Gypsy status even though they had not travelled for some considerable time. Dismissing the claim, the judge held that a person could remain a Gypsy even if he or she did not travel, provided that their nomadism was held in abeyance and not abandoned.

2.15 That point was revisited in the case of Hearne v National Assembly for Wales (1999), where a traditional Gypsy was held not to be a Gypsy for the purposes of planning law as he had stated that he intended to
abandon his nomadic habit of life, lived in a permanent dwelling and was taking a course that led to permanent employment.

2.16 Wrexham County Borough Council v National Assembly of Wales and Others (2003) determined that households and individuals could continue to lead a nomadic way of life with a permanent base from which they set out from and return to.

2.17 The implication of these rulings in terms of applying the planning definition is that it will only include those who travel (or have ceased to travel temporarily) for work purposes, or for seeking work, and in doing so stay away from their usual place of residence. It can include those who have a permanent site or place of residence, but that it will not include those who travel for purposes other than work, or for seeking work – such as holidays and visiting friends or relatives. It will not cover those who commute to work daily from a permanent place of residence (see APP/E2205/C/15/3137477).

2.18 It will also be the case that a household where some family members travel for nomadic purposes on a regular basis, but where other family members stay at home to look after children in education, or other dependents with health problems etc. the household unit would be defined as travelling under the planning definition.

2.19 Households will also fall under the planning definition if they can provide information that they have ceased to travel temporarily as a result of their own or their family’s or dependants’ educational or health needs or old age. In order to have ceased to travel temporarily these households will need to provide information that they have travelled for work, or for seeking work, in the past. In addition, households will have to provide information that they plan to travel again for work in the future.

2.20 This approach was endorsed by a Planning Inspector in a Decision Notice for an appeal in East Hertfordshire (Appeal Ref: APP/J1915/W/16/3145267) that was issued in December 2016. A summary can be seen below:

Case law, including the R v South Hams District Council ex parte Gibb (1994) judgment referred to me at the hearing, despite its reference to ‘purposive activities including work’ also refers to a connection between the travelling and the means of livelihood, that is, an economic purpose. In this regard, there is no economic purpose... This situation is no different from that of many landlords and property investors or indeed anyone travelling to work in a fixed, pre-arranged location. In this regard there is not an essential connection between wandering and work... Whilst there does appear to be some connection between the travel and the work in this regard, it seems to me that these periods of travel for economic purposes are very short, amounting to an extremely small proportion of his time and income. Furthermore, the work is not carried out in a nomadic manner because it seems likely that it is done by appointment... I conclude, therefore, that XX does not meet the definition of a gypsy and traveller in terms of planning policy because there is insufficient evidence that he is currently a person of a nomadic habit of life.

2.21 This was further reinforced in a more recent Decision Notice for an appeal in Norfolk that was issued in February 2018 (Ref: APP/V2635/W/17/3180533) that stated:

As discussed during the hearing, although the PPTS does not spell this [the planning definition] out, it has been established in case law (R v South Hams DC 1994) that the nomadism must have an economic purpose. In other words, gypsies and travellers wander or travel for the purposes of making or seeking their livelihood.
Legislation and Guidance for Gypsies and Travellers

2.22 Decision-making for policy concerning Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sits within a complex legislative and national policy framework and this study must be viewed in the context of this legislation and guidance. For example, the following key pieces of legislation and guidance are relevant when developing policies relating to Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople:

- Planning Practice Guidance\(^1\) (2014) and as amended
- Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (2015)
- The Housing and Planning Act (2016)

2.23 The primary guidance for undertaking the assessment of housing need for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople is set out in the PPTS (2015). It should be read in conjunction with the NPPF (2018). In addition, the Housing and Planning Act (2016) makes provisions for the assessment of need for those Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households living on sites and yards who do not meet the planning definition – through the assessment of all households living in caravans and on boats.

Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (2015)

2.24 PPTS (2015) sets out the direction of Government policy in relation to Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. As well as including the planning definition of a Traveller, PPTS is closely linked to the NPPF. Among other objectives, the aims of the policy in respect of Traveller sites are (PPTS Paragraph 4):

- Local planning authorities should make their own assessment of need for the purposes of planning.
- To ensure that local planning authorities, working collaboratively, develop fair and effective strategies to meet need through the identification of land for sites.
- To encourage local planning authorities to plan for sites over a reasonable timescale.
- That plan-making and decision-taking should protect Green Belt from inappropriate development.
- To promote more private Traveller site provision while recognising that there will always be those Travellers who cannot provide their own sites.
- That plan-making and decision-taking should aim to reduce the number of unauthorised developments and encampments and make enforcement more effective.
- For local planning authorities to ensure that their Local Plan includes fair, realistic and inclusive policies.
- To increase the number of Traveller sites in appropriate locations with planning permission, to address under provision and maintain an appropriate level of supply.
- To reduce tensions between settled and Traveller communities in plan-making and planning decisions.
- To enable provision of suitable accommodation from which Travellers can access education, health, welfare and employment infrastructure.

\(^1\) With particular reference to the sections on Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessments.
For local planning authorities to have due regard to the protection of local amenity and local environment.

In practice, the document states that (PPTS Paragraph 9):

- Local planning authorities should set pitch targets for Gypsies and Travellers and plot targets for Travelling Showpeople, which address the likely permanent and transit site accommodation needs of Travellers in their area, working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities.

PPTS goes on to state (Paragraph 10) that in producing their Local Plan local planning authorities should:

- Identify and annually update a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years’ worth of sites against their locally set targets.
- Identify a supply of specific, developable sites or broad locations for growth, for years 6-10 and, where possible, for years 11-15.
- Consider production of joint development plans that set targets on a cross-authority basis, to provide more flexibility in identifying sites, particularly if a local planning authority has special or strict planning constraints across its area (local planning authorities have a duty to cooperate on strategic planning issues that cross administrative boundaries).
- Relate the number of pitches or plots to the circumstances of the specific size and location of the site and the surrounding population’s size and density.
- Protect local amenity and environment.

Local Authorities now have a duty to ensure a 5-year land supply to meet the identified needs for Traveller sites. However, ‘Planning Policy for Traveller Sites’ also notes in Paragraph 11 that:

- Where there is no identified need, criteria-based policies should be included to provide a basis for decisions in case applications nevertheless come forward. Criteria-based policies should be fair and should facilitate the traditional and nomadic life of Travellers, while respecting the interests of the settled community.


The revised NPPF was issued in July 2018. Paragraph 60 of the revised NPPF sets out that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic plans should be based upon a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance.

Paragraph 61 then states that ‘Within this context, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, travellers, people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes’. The footnote to this section states that ‘Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers’ housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document.’

This essentially sets out that the needs of households that meet the planning definition should be addressed under the PPTS and that the needs of households that are not found to meet the planning definition should be addressed as part of the wider housing needs of an area.
3. Methodology

Background

3.1 Over the past 10 years, ORS has continually refined a methodology for undertaking robust and defensible Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Needs Assessments. This has been updated in light of the introduction of the PPG in 2014; changes to PPTS in August 2015; the Housing and Planning Act in 2016; and the revised NPPF (2018). It has also responded to changes set out by Planning Ministers, with particular reference to new household formation rates. This is an evolving methodology that has been adaptive to changes in planning policy as well as the outcomes of Local Plan Examinations and Planning Appeals.

3.2 PPTS (2015) contains a number of requirements for local authorities which must be addressed in any methodology. This includes the need to pay particular attention to early and effective community engagement with both settled and traveller communities (including discussing travellers’ accommodation needs with travellers themselves); identification of permanent and transit accommodation needs separately; working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities; and establishing whether households fall within the planning definition for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. The stages below provide a summary of the methodology that was used to complete this study. More information on each stage is provided in the appropriate sections of this report.

3.3 The approach currently used by ORS was considered in April 2016 and July 2017 by the Planning Inspector for the Gloucester, Cheltenham and Tewkesbury Joint Core Strategy. She concluded in her final Examination Report that was published in October 2017:

The methodology behind this assessment incorporates a full demographic study of all occupied pitches, a comprehensive effort to undertake interviews with Gypsy and Traveller households, and consideration of the implications of the new national policy. I am satisfied that the GTAA provides a robust and credible evidence base and I accept its findings.

3.4 In addition, the Inspector for the East Herts District Plan also found the evidence base in relation to Gypsies and Travellers to be sound in her Inspection Report that was issued in July 2018. She concluded:

The need of the travelling community has been carefully and robustly assessed and locations to meet identified needs have been allocated for the plan period. Policy HOU9 sets out the need for 5 permanent pitches for Gypsies and Travellers... the approach to the provision of housing is comprehensive, positively prepared, appropriate to the needs of the area and consistent with national policy.
Desk-Based Review

3.5 ORS collated a range of secondary data that was used to support the study. This included:

- Census data.
- Site records.
- Traveller Caravan Count Data.
- Records of unauthorised sites/encampments.
- Information on planning applications/appeals.
- Information on local enforcement actions.
- Existing needs assessments and other relevant local studies.
- Existing national and local policy.

Stakeholder Engagement

3.6 Engagement was undertaken with a Council Officer during the preparation of the Hampshire Consortium GTAA. This took the form of a telephone interview that were completed in September 2016 that is considered to be up-to-date and relevant. The interview explored a range of issues including:

- Background to Gypsy and Traveller issues;
- Current accommodation provision;
- Contacts in bricks and mortar;
- Short-term encampments and transit provision;
- Cross-boundary issues; and
- Future priorities.

Working Collaboratively with Neighbouring Planning Authorities

3.7 To help support the Duty-to-Cooperate and provide background information for the study, the outcomes of telephone interviews that were conducted with Planning Officers in neighbouring planning authorities during the Hampshire Consortium GTAA were considered. Interviews were completed with Council Officers from Fareham, Gosport, Havant and Winchester in August 2016 and updated in August 2017 and September 2018 to support other GTAAs in the area. In addition, information to support the Winchester Gypsy and Traveller DPD that was examined in September 2018 was also considered. As such the information is considered to be up-to-date and relevant.
Survey of Travelling Communities

3.8 Through the desk-based research and the stakeholder interviews, ORS sought to identify any authorised and unauthorised sites/yards and encampments in the study area and attempted to complete an interview with the residents on all occupied pitches and plots.

3.9 Our experience suggests that an attempt to interview households on all pitches and plots is more robust. A sample-based approach often leads to an under-estimate of need – and is an approach which is regularly challenged at Local Plan Examinations and at Planning Appeals.

3.10 ORS worked closely with the Council to ensure that the interviews collected all the necessary information to support the study. The household interview questions that were used (Appendix C) have been updated to take account of recent changes to PPTS and to collect the information ORS feel is necessary to apply the planning definition. Any sites and yards that were identified were visited by members of our team of experienced Researchers who work on our GTAA studies across England and Wales. Researchers attempted to conduct semi-structured interviews with residents to determine their current demographic characteristics, their current or future accommodation needs, whether there is any over-crowding or the presence of concealed or doubled-up households and travelling characteristics. Researchers sought to identify contacts living in bricks and mortar to interview, as well as an overall assessment of each site to determine any opportunities for intensification or expansion to meet future needs.

3.11 Researchers also sought information from residents on the type of pitches they may require in the future – for example private or socially rented, together with any features they may wish to be provided on a new pitch or site.

3.12 Researchers also distributed copies of an information leaflet that was prepared by Friends, Families and Travellers explaining the reasons for the need to complete the household interview as part of the GTAA process.
3.13 The 2011 Census recorded 34 households that identified as Gypsy or Irish Traveller who live in a house or flat in Portsmouth.

3.14 ORS apply a rigorous approach to making contact with bricks and mortar households as this is a common issue raised at Local Plan Examinations and Planning Appeals. Contacts were sought through a range of sources including the interviews with people on existing sites and intelligence from the Council. This included discussions with Council Officers and Wardens that helped to arrange the site visits, and contact with Friends, Families and Travellers and any Planning Agents known to operate in the area. Through this overall approach the GTAA endeavoured to do everything possible to give households living in bricks and mortar the opportunity to make their views known and to be interviewed.

3.15 As a rule, ORS do not make any assumptions on the overall needs from household in bricks and mortar based on the outcomes of any interviews that are completed as, in our experience, this leads to a significant over-estimate of the number of households wishing to move to a site or a yard. ORS work on the assumption that all those wishing to move will make their views known to us based on the wide range of publicity that is put in place. Thus, ORS are seeking to shift the burden of responsibility on to those living in bricks and mortar through demonstrating efforts to make them aware of the study.
Timing of the Fieldwork

3.16 ORS are fully aware of the transient nature of many travelling communities and subsequent seasonal variations in site and yard occupancy. Efforts are usually made to complete fieldwork during the non-travelling season and to avoid days of known local or national events. However as only one long-term encampment was identified the fieldwork was completed during June 2018.

Applying the Planning Definition

3.17 The primary change to PPTS (2015) in relation to the assessment of need is the change in the definition of a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson for planning purposes. Through the site interviews ORS sought to collect information necessary to assess each household against the planning definition. As the revised PPTS was only issued in 2015, only a small number of relevant appeal decisions have been issued by the Planning Inspectorate on how the planning definition should be applied (see Paragraphs 2.20 and 2.21 for examples) – these support the view that households need to be able to demonstrate that they travel for work purposes, or for seeking work, to meet the planning definition, and stay away from their usual place of residence when doing so, or have ceased to travel for work purposes temporarily due to education, ill health or old age.

3.18 The household survey included a structured section of questions to record information about the travelling characteristics of household members. This included questions on the following key issues:

» Whether any household members have travelled in the past 12 months.

» Whether household members have ever travelled.

» The reasons for travelling.

» Where household members travelled to.

» The times of the year that household members travelled.

» Where household members stay when they are away travelling.

» When household members stopped travelling.

» The reasons why household members stopped travelling.

» Whether household members intend to travel again in the future.

» When and the reasons why household members plan to travel again in the future.

3.19 When the household survey was completed the outcomes from these questions on travelling were used to determine the status of each household against the planning definition in PPTS (2015). Through a combination of responses households need to provide sufficient information to demonstrate that household members travel for work purposes, or for seeking work, and in doing so stay away from their usual place of residence, or that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to education, ill health or old age, and plan to travel again for work purposes in the future. The same definition applies to Travelling Showpeople as to Gypsies and Travellers.

3.20 Households that need to be considered in the GTAA fall under one of 3 classifications. Only those households that meet, or may meet, the planning definition will form the components of need to be formally included in the GTAA:

» Households that travel under the planning definition.
Households that have ceased to travel temporarily under the planning definition.

Households where an interview was not possible who may fall under the planning definition.

3.21 Whilst the needs of those households that do not meet the planning definition do not need to be included in the GTAA, they have been assessed to provide the Councils with components of need as part of their work on wider housing needs assessments.

Unknown Households

3.22 As well as calculating need for households that meet the planning definition, the needs of the households where an interview was not completed (either due to refusal to be interviewed or households that were not present during the fieldwork period) need to be considered as part of the GTAA where they are believed to be Gypsies and Travellers who may meet the planning definition. Whilst there is no guidance that sets out how the needs of these households should be addressed; an approach has been taken that seeks an estimate of potential need from these households. This will be an additional need figure over and above the need identified for households that do meet the planning definition.

3.23 The estimate seeks to identify potential current and future need from any pitches known to be temporary or unauthorised, and through new household formation. For the latter the ORS national rate of 1.50% has been used as the demographics of residents are unknown.

3.24 Should further information be made available to the Council that will allow for the planning definition to be applied, these households could either form a confirmed component of need to be addressed through the GTAA or through wider assessments of housing need.

3.25 ORS believe it would not be appropriate when producing a robust assessment of need to make any firm assumptions about whether households where an interview was not completed meet the planning definition based on the outcomes of households where an interview was completed.

3.26 However, data that has been collected from over 3,500 household interviews that have been completed by ORS since the changes to PPTS in 2015 suggests that overall approximately 25% of households who have been interviewed meet the planning definition (this rises to 70% for Travelling Showpeople based on over 300 interviews that have been completed) – and in some local authorities, no households meet the planning definition.

3.27 ORS are not implying that this is an official national statistic - rather a national statistic based on the outcomes of our fieldwork since the introduction of PPTS (2015). It is estimated that there are between 12,000-14,000 Gypsy and Traveller pitches in England and ORS have spoken with households on over 25% of them at a representative range of sites. Approximately 25% meet the planning definition. ORS also asked similar questions on travelling in over 2,000 pre-PPTS (2015) household interviews and found that 10% of households would have met the PPTS (2015) planning definition. It is ORS’ view therefore that this is the most comprehensive national statistic in relation to households that meet the planning definition in PPTS (2015) and should be seen as a robust statistical figure.

2 See Chapter 5.
3.28 This would suggest that it is likely that only a proportion of the potential need identified from unknown households will need conditioned Gypsy and Traveller pitches, and that the needs of the majority will need to be addressed through separate Local Plan Policies.

3.29 The ORS methodology to address the need arising from unknown households was supported by the Planning Inspector for a Local Plan Examination for Maldon Borough Council, Essex. In his Report that was published on 29th June 2017 he concluded:

"Households that do not meet the Planning Definition"

3.30 Households who do not travel for work purposes now fall outside the planning definition of a Traveller. However Romany Gypsies and Irish and Scottish Travellers may be able to demonstrate a right to culturally appropriate accommodation under the Equality Act 2010. In addition, provisions set out in the Housing and Planning Act (2016) include a duty (under Section 8 of the 1985 Housing Act that covers the requirement for a periodical review of housing needs) for local authorities to consider the needs of people residing in or resorting to their district with respect to the provision of sites on which caravans can be stationed, or places on inland waterways where houseboats can be moored. Draft Guidance related to this section of the Housing and Planning Act has been published setting out how the Government would want local housing authorities to undertake this assessment and it is the same as the GTAA assessment process. The implication is therefore that the housing needs of any Gypsy and Traveller households who do not meet the planning definition of a Traveller will need to be considered as part of the wider housing needs of the area and will form a subset of the wider need arising from households residing in caravans. This is echoed in the revised NPPF (July 2018).

3.31 Paragraph 61 of the revised NPPF states that ‘Within this context, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, travellers, people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes’. The footnote to this section states that ‘Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers’ housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document.’

---

3 “Draft guidance to local housing authorities on the periodical review of housing needs for caravans and houseboats.” (March 2016)
Calculating Current and Future Need

3.32 To identify need, PPTS (2015) requires an assessment for current and future pitch requirements but does not provide a methodology for this. However, as with any housing assessment, the underlying calculation can be broken down into a relatively small number of factors. In this case, the key issue is to compare the supply of pitches available for occupation with the current and future needs of the population.

Supply of Pitches

3.33 The first stage of the assessment sought to determine the number of vacant and potentially available supply in the study area:

» Current vacant pitches.
» Pitches currently with planning consent due to be developed within 5 years.
» Pitches vacated by people moving to housing.
» Pitches vacated by people moving from the study area (out-migration).

3.34 It is important when seeking to identify supply from vacant pitches that they are in fact available for general occupation – i.e. on a public or social rented site, or on a private site that is run on a commercial basis with anyone being able to rent a pitch if they are available. Typically, vacant pitches on small private family sites are not included as components of available supply but can be used to meet any current and future need from the family living on the site.

Current Need

3.35 The second stage was to identify components of current need. It is important to address issues of double counting:

» Households on unauthorised developments for which planning permission is not expected.
» Concealed, doubled-up or over-crowded households (including single adults).
» Households in bricks and mortar wishing to move to sites.
» Households in need on waiting lists for public sites.

Future Need

3.36 The final stage was to identify components of future need. This includes the following four components:

» Teenage children in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years.
» Households living on sites with temporary planning permissions.
» New household formation.
» In-migration.

3.37 Household formation rates are often the subject of challenge at appeals or examinations. ORS firmly believe that any household formation rates should use a robust local evidence base, rather than simply relying on national precedent. The approach taken is set out in more detail in Chapter 5 of this report.
All these components of supply and need are presented in easy to understand tables which identify the overall net need for current and future accommodation for both Gypsies and Travellers, and for Travelling Showpeople. This has proven to be a robust model for identifying needs. The residential and transit pitch needs for Gypsies and Travellers are identified separately and the needs are identified for the period 2018 to 2036.

Pitch Turnover

Some assessments of need make use of pitch turnover as an ongoing component of supply. ORS do not agree with this approach or about making any assumptions about annual turnover rates. This is an approach that usually ends up with a significant under-estimate of need as in the majority of cases vacant pitches on sites are not available to meet any additional need. The use of pitch turnover has been the subject of several Inspectors Decisions, for example APP/J3720/A/13/2208767 found a GTAA to be unsound when using pitch turnover and concluded:

West Oxfordshire Council relies on a GTAA published in 2013. This identifies an immediate need for 6 additional pitches. However, the GTAA methodology treats pitch turnover as a component of supply. This is only the case if there is net outward migration, yet no such scenario is apparent in West Oxfordshire. Based on the evidence before me I consider the underlying criticism of the GTAA to be justified and that unmet need is likely to be higher than that in the findings in the GTAA.

In addition, a GTAA Best Practice Guide produced by a number of organisations including Friends, Families and Travellers, the London Gypsy and Traveller Unit, the York Travellers Trust, the Derbyshire Gypsy Liaison Group, Garden Court Chambers and Leeds GATE concluded that:

Assessments involving any form of pitch turnover in their supply relies upon making assumptions; a practice best avoided. Turnover is naturally very difficult to assess accurately and in practice does not contribute meaningfully to additional supply so should be very carefully assessed in line with local trends. Mainstream housing assessments are not based on the assumption that turnover within the existing stock can provide for general housing needs.

As such, other than current vacant pitches on sites that are known to be available, or pitches that are known to become available (as a result of households moving for example), pitch turnover has not been considered as a component of supply in this GTAA.

Transit Provision

PPTS (2015) also requires an assessment of the need for any transit sites or stopping places. While the majority of Gypsies and Travellers have permanent bases either on Gypsy and Traveller sites or in bricks and mortar and no longer travel, other members of the community either travel permanently or for part of the year. Due to the mobile nature of the population, a range of sites or management approaches can be developed to accommodate Gypsies and Travellers as they move through different areas.

» Transit sites
» Temporary/Emergency stopping places
» Temporary (seasonal) sites
Negotiated Stopping Agreements

3.43 In order to investigate the potential need for transit provision when undertaking work to support the study, ORS sought to undertake analysis of any records of unauthorised sites and encampments, as well as information from the MHCLG\(^4\) Traveller Caravan Count. The outcomes of the interviews with Council Officers, Officers from neighbouring local authorities and other stakeholders were also taken into consideration when determining this element of need in the study area. An assessment of need for transit provision can be found in Chapter 7.

\(^4\) Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government. Formerly DCLG.
4. Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Sites and Population

Introduction

4.1 One of the main considerations of this study is to provide evidence to support the provision of pitches and plots to meet the current and future accommodation needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. A pitch is an area normally occupied by one household, which typically contains enough space for one or two caravans but can vary in size. A site is a collection of pitches occupied by Gypsies and Travellers. For Travelling Showpeople, the most common descriptions used are a plot for the space occupied by one household and a yard for a collection of plots occupied by Travelling Showpeople. Throughout this study the main focus is on how many extra pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and plots for Travelling Showpeople are required in the study area.

4.2 The public and private provision of mainstream housing is also largely mirrored when considering Gypsy and Traveller accommodation. One common form of a Gypsy and Traveller site is a publicly-provided residential site, which is provided by a Local Authority or by a Registered Provider (usually a Housing Association). Pitches on public sites can be obtained through signing up to a waiting list, and the costs of running the sites are met from the rent paid by the tenants (similar to social housing).

4.3 The alternative to public residential sites are private residential sites and yards for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. These result from individuals or families buying areas of land and then obtaining planning permission to live on them. Households can also rent pitches on existing private sites. Therefore, these two forms of accommodation are the equivalent to private ownership and renting for those who live in bricks and mortar housing. Generally, the majority of Travelling Showpeople yards are privately owned and managed.

4.4 The Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population also has other forms of sites due to its mobile nature. Transit sites tend to contain many of the same facilities as a residential site, except that there is a maximum period of residence which can vary from a few days or weeks to a period of months. An alternative to a transit site is an emergency or negotiated stopping place. This type of site also has restrictions on the length of time someone can stay on it but has much more limited facilities. Both of these types of site are designed to accommodate, for a temporary period, Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople whilst they travel. A number of local authorities also operate an accepted encampments policy where short-term stopovers are tolerated without enforcement action.

4.5 Further considerations for the Gypsy and Traveller population are unauthorised developments and encampments. Unauthorised developments occur on land which is owned by the Gypsies and Travellers or with the approval of the land owner, but for which they do not have planning permission to use for residential purposes. Unauthorised encampments typically occur on publicly owned land but can also occur on privately owned land.

---

5 Whilst it has now been withdrawn, Government Guidance on Designing Gypsy and Traveller Sites recommended that, as a general guide, an average family pitch must be capable of accommodating an amenity building, a large trailer and touring caravan, parking space for two vehicles and a small garden area.
MHCLG Traveller Caravan Count

4.6 Another source of information available on the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population is the bi-annual Traveller Caravan Count which is conducted by each Local Authority in England on a specific date in January and July of each year and reported to MHCLG. This is a statistical count of the number of caravans on both authorised and unauthorised sites across England. With effect from July 2013, the Gypsy and Traveller Caravan Count was renamed the Traveller Caravan Count due to the inclusion of information on Travelling Showpeople caravans.

4.7 As this count is of caravans and not households, it makes it more difficult to interpret for a study such as this because it does not count pitches or resident households. The count is merely a ‘snapshot in time’ conducted by the Local Authority on a specific day, and any unauthorised sites or encampments which occur on other dates will not be recorded. Likewise, any caravans that are away from sites on the day of the count will not be counted. As such, it is not considered appropriate to use the outcomes from the Traveller Caravan Count to support the calculation of current and future need as the information collected during the site visits is seen as more robust and fit-for-purpose. However, the Caravan Count data has been used to support the identification of the need to provide for transit provision and this is set out in Chapter 7 of this report.
5. Current and Future Provision

Background

5.1 This section focuses on the background to the additional pitch provision which is needed in the study area currently and to 2036. A summary of the outcomes of the assessment of current and future provision can be found in the next sections of this report. This includes both current unmet need and need which is likely to arise in the future. The time period for the assessment allows for robust forecasts of the requirements for future provision, based upon the evidence contained within this study and also secondary data sources. Whilst the difficulty in making accurate assessments beyond 5 years has been highlighted in previous studies, the approach taken in this study to estimate new household formation has been accepted by Planning Inspectors as the most appropriate methodology to use.

5.2 We would note that the assessment of current and future provision is based upon a combination of the on-site surveys, planning records and stakeholder interviews. In many cases, the survey data is not used in isolation, but instead is used to validate information from planning records or other sources.

5.3 The assessment concentrates on the total additional current and future provision which is required, along with an overall assessment of need for any transit provision for the study area.

New Household Formation Rates

5.4 Nationally, a net household formation and growth rate of 3.00% net per annum has been commonly assumed and widely used in local Gypsy and Traveller assessments, even though there is no statistical evidence of households growing so quickly. The result has been to inflate both national and local requirements for additional pitches unrealistically. In this context, ORS has prepared a Technical Note on Household Formation and Growth Rates (2015). The main conclusions are set out here and the full paper is in Appendix D.

5.5 Those seeking to provide evidence of high annual net household growth rates for Gypsies and Travellers have sometimes sought to rely on increases in the number of caravans, as reflected in the Traveller Caravan Count. However, Caravan Count data is very unreliable and erratic – so the only proper way to project future population and household growth is through detailed demographic analysis.

5.6 The Technical Note concludes that in fact, the growth in the national Gypsy and Traveller population may be as low as 1.25% per annum – much less than the 3.00% per annum often assumed, but still greater than in the settled community. Even using extreme and unrealistic assumptions, it is hard to find evidence that net Gypsy and Traveller population and household growth rates are above 2.00% per annum nationally.

5.7 The often assumed 3.00% per annum net household growth rate is therefore unrealistic and would require clear statistical evidence before being used for planning purposes. In practice, the best available evidence supports a national net household growth rate of 1.50% per annum for Gypsies and Travellers. This view has been supported by Planning Inspectors in a number of Decision Notices.

---

5.8 In a Decision Notice for an appeal in Doncaster that was issued in November 2016 (Ref: APP/F4410/W/15/3133490) where the agent acting on behalf of the appellant claimed that a rate closer to 3.00% should be used, the Inspector concluded:

In assessing need account also needs to be taken of likely household growth over the coming years. In determining an annual household growth rate the Council relies on the work of Opinions Research Services (ORS), part of Swansea University. ORS’s research considers migration, population profiles, births & fertility rates, death rates, household size data and household dissolution rates to determine average household growth rates for gypsies and travellers. The findings indicate that the average annual growth rate is in the order of 1.5% but that a 2.5% figure could be used if local data suggest a relatively youthful population. As the Council has found a strong correlation between Doncaster’s gypsy and traveller population age profile and the national picture, a 1.5% annual household growth rate has been used in its 2016 GTANA. Given the rigour of ORS’s research and the Council’s application of its findings to the local area I accept that a 1.5% figure is justified in the case of Doncaster.

5.9 Another more recent Decision Notice was in relation to an appeal in Guildford that was issued in March 2018 (Ref: APP/W/16/3165526) where the agent acting on behalf of the appellant again claimed that a rate closer to 3.00% should be used. The Inspector concluded:

There is significant debate about household formation rates and the need to meet future growth in the district. The obvious point to make is that this issue is likely to be debated at the local-plan examination. In my opinion, projecting growth rates is not an exact science and the debate demonstrates some divergence of opinion between the experts. Different methodologies could be applied producing a wide range of data. However, on the available evidence it seems to me that the figures used in the GTAA are probably appropriate given that they are derived by using local demographic evidence. In my opinion, the use of a national growth rate and its adaptation to suit local or regional variation, or the use of local base data to refine the figure, is a reasonable approach.

5.10 In addition, the Technical Note has recently been accepted as a robust academic evidence base and was published by the Social Research Association in its journal Social Research Practice in December 2017.

5.11 ORS assessments take full account of the net local household growth rate per annum for each local authority, calculated on the basis of demographic evidence from the site surveys, and the ‘baseline’ includes all current authorised households, all households identified as in current need (including concealed households, teenagers in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years, movement from bricks and mortar and those on waiting lists not currently living on a pitch or plot), as well as households living on tolerated unauthorised pitches or plots who are not included as current need. The assessments of future need also take account of modelling projections based on birth and death rates, and in-/out-migration.

Breakdown by 5 Year Bands

5.12 In addition to tables which set out the overall need for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople, the overall need has also been broken down by 5-year bands as required by PPTS. The way that this is calculated is by including all current need (from unauthorised pitches, pitches with temporary planning
permission, concealed and doubled-up households, 5 year need from teenage children, and net movement from bricks and mortar) in the first 5 years. Total net new household formation is split across the 5-year bands based on the compound rate of growth that was applied – as opposed to being spread evenly.

Applying the Planning Definition

5.13 The outcomes from the questions on travelling in the household survey have been used to determine the status of each household against the planning definition in PPTS (2015). This assessment was based on the responses to the questions given to Researchers. Only those households that met the planning definition, in that they were able to provide information during the household interview that household members travel for work purposes, or for seeking work, and stay away from their usual place of residence when doing so – or that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to education, ill health or old age, form the components of need that will form the baseline of need in the GTAA. Households where an interview was not completed who may meet the planning definition have also been included as a potential additional component of need from unknown households. Whilst they do not need to be formally considered in the GTAA, need from households that did not meet the planning definition has also been assessed to provide the Council with information on levels of need that will have to be considered as part of the wider housing needs of the area and through separate Local Plan Policies.

Migration

5.14 The study has also sought to address in-migration (households requiring accommodation who move into the study area from outside) and out-migration (households moving away from the study area). Site surveys typically identify only small numbers of in-migrant and out-migrant households and the data is not normally robust enough to extrapolate long-term trends. At the national level, there is nil net migration of Gypsies and Travellers across the UK, but the assessment has taken into account local migration effects on the basis of the best evidence available.

5.15 Evidence drawn from fieldwork has been considered alongside assessments of need that have been completed in other nearby local authorities. ORS identified a number of instances where households were seeking to move in the next 5 years. Some of these were seeking to develop new sites or yards in the local area and some were planning to move away from the study area. However, ORS found no evidence from other local studies that have been completed recently of any households wishing to move to Portsmouth. Therefore, net migration to the sum of zero has been assumed for the GTAA – which means that net pitch requirements are driven by locally identifiable need rather than speculative modelling assumptions. Should any households from outside of the study area wish to develop a new site, proposals will need to be considered against criteria-based Local Plan Policies.
6. GTAA Outcomes

Sites and Yards in the Study Area

6.1 In Portsmouth, at the baseline date for this study, there were no Gypsy and Traveller sites or Travelling Showpeople yards identified. There was one long-term unauthorised encampment identified but the fieldwork identified that it was not occupied by Gypsies or Travellers.

Figure 2 - Total amount of provision in Portsmouth (July 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Sites/Yards</th>
<th>Pitches/Plots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private sites with permanent planning permission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private sites with temporary planning permission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public sites (Council and Registered Providers)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transit provision</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private transit provision</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerated sites</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unauthorised sites</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travelling Showpeople yards</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stakeholder Engagement

6.2 ORS undertook a stakeholder engagement programme as part of a recent GTAA for a consortium of local authorities in Hampshire and this included an interview with an Officer from Portsmouth City Council. This consultation took the form of telephone interviews and the aim of these interviews was to provide an understanding of current provision and possible future need; short-term encampments; transit provision; and cross-border issues.

6.3 With regard to overall accommodation need in Portsmouth, the views of the Officer interviewed were as follows:

» There are no sites for Gypsy and Travellers or Travelling Showpeople in Portsmouth. There are also no unauthorised developments occupied by Travellers.

» The Council is at the early stage of their Local Plan preparations.

» The last GTAA fed into the Local Plan 2012. The City has a criteria-based policy and has so far received no planning applications.

» Portsmouth is effectively a densely developed island with very limited greenfield areas and open countryside. This makes the identification of any potential sites provision of any Gypsy or Traveller sites very difficult.

» The Officer was not aware of any short-term unauthorised encampments or movements through the areas.

6.4 With regard to the subject of cross border issues and the duty to cooperate, the views of the Officer interviewed were as follows:
The Officer knew of no cross-border issues at present with other local authorities. The officer felt that it was hard to compare the areas (Havant, Winchester and Fareham) given that those areas do have greenfield areas and felt that it would be difficult for those authorities to ask Portsmouth to meet need identified in their respective areas. The Officer felt the same applied to transit provision.

**Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers**

6.5 One of the main components of this study was to identify current Traveller households with housing needs and to assess likely future housing need from within existing households, to help judge the need for any future pitch provision. The household interview questions can be found in Appendix C – although the interviews were conducted using Computer Aided Personal Interviewing (CAPI) tablets.

6.6 Through the desk-based research and stakeholder interviews ORS sought to identify all authorised and unauthorised sites and yards in the study area. This work only identified one small unauthorised encampment in Portsmouth. Interviews were completed with the residents in June 2018.

6.7 A total of 4 interviews were completed and it was quickly established that none of the people living on the encampment identified as Gypsies, Travellers or Travelling Showpeople – although it was noted that some Irish Travellers had stopped on the site on one occasion in the past.

**Bricks and Mortar/Waiting List Interviews**

6.8 Although the 2011 Census recorded 34 households that identified as Gypsies or Irish Travellers in Portsmouth, none of these came forward to be interviewed despite all of the efforts that were made during the study.

6.9 In addition, no further household in bricks and mortar are known to have approached the Council during the GTAA study period seeking a site and none have declared themselves homeless. As such it is fair to conclude that no further allowances should be made for bricks and mortar households - because no others identified themselves as being in need.

**Additional Pitch and Plot Needs**

6.10 There were no Gypsies, Travellers or Travelling Showpeople sites or yards identified in Portsmouth. Despite all the efforts that were made during the course of the GTAA no households were identified to interview living in bricks and mortar, despite a small number of households being identified in the 2011 Census. As such there is no current or future need for additional pitches or plots in Portsmouth over the GTAA period to 2036.

**Figure 3 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Portsmouth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>0-5</th>
<th>6-10</th>
<th>11-15</th>
<th>16-18</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-23</td>
<td>2023-28</td>
<td>2028-33</td>
<td>2033-36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Portsmouth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>0-5</th>
<th>6-10</th>
<th>11-15</th>
<th>16-18</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-23</td>
<td>2023-28</td>
<td>2028-33</td>
<td>2033-36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

6.11 The assessment of need provides a robust evidence base to enable the Council to assess the housing needs of the Travelling Community as well as complying with their requirements towards Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople under the Housing Act 1985, Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) 2014, Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) 2015, the Housing and Planning Act 2016 and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2018. It also provides the evidence base which can be used to support Local Plan Policies.

Gypsies and Travellers

6.12 In summary there is a need for no additional pitches in Portsmouth over the GTAA period to 2036 for Gypsy and Traveller households as none were identified.

6.13 It is recommended that any need arising from new households seeking to move to the area and develop a site should be addressed through a criteria-based Local Plan Policy.

Travelling Showpeople

6.14 In summary there is a need for no additional plots in Portsmouth over the GTAA period to 2036 for Travelling Showpeople households as none were identified.

6.15 It is recommended that any need arising from new households seeking to move to the area and develop a yard should be addressed through a criteria-based Local Plan Policy.
7. Transit Requirements

7.1 It is acknowledged that it is difficult to robustly determine the need for transit provision through individual GTAA studies as transit requirements are more of a regional, if not a national issue, that need to be addressed in a more strategic manner. In addition, changes to the PPTS in 2015 are seen by many organisations that represent the Travelling Community as a catalyst that will potentially increase the number of households seeking to travel and be in need of transit provision.

7.2 When assessing transit provision in the study area the assessment has looked at the outcomes the stakeholder interviews that were completed to support the Hampshire Consortium GTAA, data from the MHCLG Traveller Caravan Count, and the potential wider issues associated with changes to the PPTS in 2015.

Stakeholder Interviews and Local Data

7.3 Information from the stakeholder interviews confirmed that there are very low levels of unauthorised encampments in the study area, and that most are transient and passing through for short periods of time.

MHCLG Traveller Caravan Count

7.4 Whilst it is considered to be a comprehensive national dataset on numbers of authorised and unauthorised caravans across England, it is acknowledged that the Traveller Caravan Count is a count of caravans and not households. It also does not record the reasons for unauthorised caravans or any demographic information about residents. This makes it very difficult to interpret in relation to assessing current and future need because it does not count pitches or resident households. The count is also only a twice yearly (January and July) ‘snapshot in time’ conducted by local authorities on a specific day, and any caravans on unauthorised sites or encampments which occur on other dates are not recorded. Likewise, any caravans that are away from sites on the day of the count are not included. As such it is not considered appropriate to use the outcomes from the Traveller Caravan Count in the assessment of current or future transit provision. It does however provide valuable historic and trend data on whether there are instances of unauthorised caravans in local authority areas.

7.5 Data from the Traveller Caravan Count shows that there have been no non-tolerated unauthorised caravans on land not owned by Travellers recorded in the study area in recent years. However, as set out above this is just a snapshot and does not give a full picture of unauthorised encampments and potential need for transit provision across the study area.

Potential Implications of PPTS (2015)

7.6 It has been suggested by a number of organisations representing members of the Travelling Community that there will need to be a large increase in transit provision across the country as a result changes to the PPTS in 2015 leading to more households travelling to seek to meet the planning definition. This may well be the case, but it will take some time for any changes in travelling behaviour to materialise.
Transit Recommendations

7.7 There have historically been a very low number of unauthorised encampments in Portsmouth. No further encampments were recorded during the GTAA fieldwork period, other than the long-term encampment at Ferry Road that is not occupied by Travellers.

7.8 As such it is recommended that there is **no need for any transit provision** in Portsmouth at this time. However, the situation relating to levels of unauthorised encampments should be monitored whilst any potential changes associated with PPTS (2015) develop. This information could be collected as part of a Welfare Assessment (or equivalent).

7.9 The way in which Welfare Assessments are carried out varies between local authorities, however, to provide robust input into the assessment of need for any further transit provision, it is recommended that the Council should consider collecting the following information:

  » Numbers of households, caravans and other vehicles.
  » Reasons why households are in the local area.
  » How long households are planning to stay in the local area.
  » Whether households have a permanent place of resident elsewhere.
  » Where households have come from and where they are planning to move on to next.
  » Whether households would consider staying on a transit site if one were available.
  » Whether households have permanent accommodation need in the local area.

7.10 In the short-term the Council should consider the use of management arrangements for dealing with unauthorised encampments and could also consider the use of Negotiated Stopping Agreements, as opposed to taking forward an infrastructure-based approach.

7.11 The term ‘negotiated stopping’ is used to describe agreed short-term provision for Gypsy and Traveller caravans. It does not describe permanent ‘built’ transit sites but negotiated agreements which allow caravans to be sited on suitable specific pieces of ground for an agreed and limited period of time, with the provision of limited services such as water, waste disposal and toilets. Agreements are made between the authority and the (temporary) residents regarding expectations on both sides.

7.12 Temporary stopping places can be made available at times of increased demand due to fairs or cultural celebrations that are attended by Gypsies and Travellers. A charge may be levied as determined by the local authority although they only need to provide basic facilities including: a cold-water supply; portaloo; sewerage disposal point and refuse disposal facilities.
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# Appendix A: Glossary of Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amenity block/shed</strong></td>
<td>A building where basic plumbing amenities (bath/shower, WC, sink) are provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bricks and mortar</strong></td>
<td>Mainstream housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAPI</strong></td>
<td>Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Caravan</strong></td>
<td>Mobile living vehicle used by Gypsies and Travellers. Also referred to as trailers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chalet</strong></td>
<td>A single storey residential unit which can be dismantled. Sometimes referred to as mobile homes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concealed household</strong></td>
<td>Households, living within other households, who are unable to set up separate family units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DCLG</strong></td>
<td>Department for Communities and Local Government (now MHCLG).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doubling-Up</strong></td>
<td>Where there are more than the permitted number of caravans on a pitch or plot.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Stopping Place</strong></td>
<td>A temporary site with limited facilities to be occupied by Gypsies and Travellers while they travel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Green Belt</strong></td>
<td>A land use designation used to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas; prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another; assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment; preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GTAA</strong></td>
<td>Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HEDNA</strong></td>
<td>Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household formation</strong></td>
<td>The process where individuals form separate households. This is normally through adult children setting up their own household.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In-migration</strong></td>
<td>Movement into or come to live in a region or community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LHNA</strong></td>
<td>Local Housing Need Assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Plans</strong></td>
<td>Local Authority spatial planning documents that can include specific policies and/or site allocations for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MHCLG</strong></td>
<td>Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (formerly DCLG).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPPF</strong></td>
<td>National Planning Policy Framework.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ORS</strong></td>
<td>Opinion Research Services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Out-migration</strong></td>
<td>Movement from one region or community in order to settle in another.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal planning permission</td>
<td>A private site where the planning permission specifies who can occupy the site and doesn’t allow transfer of ownership.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch/plot</td>
<td>Area of land on a site/development generally home to one household. Can be varying sizes and have varying caravan numbers. Pitches refer to Gypsy and Traveller sites and Plots to Travelling Showpeople yards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPG</td>
<td>Planning Practice Guidance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPTS</td>
<td>Planning Policy for Traveller Sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private site</td>
<td>An authorised site owned privately. Can be owner-occupied, rented or a mixture of owner-occupied and rented pitches.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHMA</td>
<td>Strategic Housing Market Assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>An area of land on which Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople are accommodated in caravans/chalets/vehicles. Can contain one or multiple pitches/plots.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social/Public/Council Site</td>
<td>An authorised site owned by either the local authority or a Registered Housing Provider.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary planning permission</td>
<td>A private site with planning permission for a fixed period of time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerated site/yard</td>
<td>Long-term tolerated sites or yards where enforcement action is not expedient, and a certificate of lawful use would be granted if sought.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit provision</td>
<td>Site intended for short stays and containing a range of facilities. There is normally a limit on the length of time residents can stay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unauthorised Development</td>
<td>Caravans on land owned by Gypsies and Travellers and without planning permission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unauthorised Encampment</td>
<td>Caravans on land not owned by Gypsies and Travellers and without planning permission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiting list</td>
<td>Record held by the local authority or site managers of applications to live on a site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yard</td>
<td>A name often used by Travelling Showpeople to refer to a site.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix B: Site and Yard List
(July 2018)

Portsmouth City Council

**Figure 5 – Portsmouth site and yard list**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site/Yard</th>
<th>Authorised Pitches or Plots</th>
<th>Unauthorised Pitches or Plots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Sites</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Private Sites with Permanent Permission</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Private Sites with Temporary Permission</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unauthorised Developments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PITCHES</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unauthorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PLOTS</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transit Provision</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C: Household Interview Questions
GTAA Questionnaire 2017

INTERVIEWER: Good Morning/afternoon/evening. My name is < > from Opinion Research Services, working on behalf of XXXX Council.

The Council are undertaking a study of Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation needs assessment in this area. This is needed to make sure that accommodation needs are properly assessed and to get a better understanding of the needs of the Travelling Community.

The Council need to try and speak with every Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople household in the area to make sure that the assessment of need is accurate.

Your household will not be identified and all the information collected will be anonymous and will only be used to help understand the needs of Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households.

ORS is registered under the Data Protection Act 1998. Your responses will be stored and processed electronically and securely. This paper form will be securely destroyed after processing. Your household will not be identified to the council and only anonymous data and results will be submitted, though verbatim comments may be reported in full, and the data from this survey will only be used to help understand the needs of Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households.

### General Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>Name of planning authority: INTERVIEWER please write in</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Date/time of site visit(s): DD/MM/YY TIME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>Name of interviewer: INTERVIEWER please write in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>Address and pitch number: INTERVIEWER please write in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>Type of accommodation: INTERVIEWER please cross one box only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Council  Private rented  Private owned  Unauthorised  Bricks and Mortar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>Name of Family: INTERVIEWER please write in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>Ethnicity of Family: INTERVIEWER please cross one box only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Romany Gypsy  Irish Traveller  Scots Gypsy or Traveller  Show Person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Traveller  English Traveller  Welsh Gypsy  Non-Traveller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>Number of units on the pitch: INTERVIEWER please write in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mobile homes  Touring Caravans  Day Rooms  Other (please specify)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A9 Is this site your main place of residence? If not where is?
INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes [ ]
No [ ]

If not main place of residence where is (please specify)

A10 How long have you lived here? If you have moved in the past 5 years, where did you move from?
INTERVIEWER: Please write in below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you have moved in the past 5 years, where did you move from? Include ALL moves

A11 Did you live here out of your own choice or because there was no other option? If there was no other option, why?
INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Choice [ ]
No option [ ]

If no option, why?

A12 Is this site suitable for your household? If so why and if not why not?
(For example close to schools, work, healthcare, family and friends etc.)

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes [ ]
No [ ]

Reasons (please specify)

A13 How many separate families or unmarried adults live on this pitch?
INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

1 [ ]
2 [ ]
3 [ ]
4 [ ]
5 [ ]
6 [ ]
7 [ ]
8 [ ]
9 [ ]
10 [ ]

B Demographics

B1 Demographics — Household 1
INTERVIEWER: Please write-in

Person 1 | Person 2 | Person 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Complete additional forms for each household on pitch

Person 4 | Person 5 | Person 6 | Person 7 | Person 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

C Accommodation Needs

C1 How many families or unmarried adults living on this pitch are in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years?
INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

INTERVIEWER: An adult is defined as 16+

1 [ ]
2 [ ]
3 [ ]
4 [ ]
5 [ ]
6 [ ]
7 [ ]
8 [ ]
9 [ ]
10 [ ]

Other Please specify
Future Accommodation Needs

E1 Do you plan to move from this site in the next 5 years? If so, why?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes ☐ If yes → Continue to E2
No ☐ If no → Go to E5

If so, why? (please specify)

E2 Where would you move to? INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Another site in this area (specify where)
A site in another council area (specify where)
Bricks and mortar in this area (specify where)
Bricks and mortar in another council area (specify where)
Other (e.g. land they own elsewhere) (Please specify)

Please specify where they would move to
If they own land elsewhere - probe for details

E3 If you want to move would you prefer to buy a private pitch or site, or rent a pitch on a public or private site? INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Private buy ☐ Private rent ☐ Public rent ☐

E4 Can you afford to buy a private pitch or site? INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes ☐ No ☐

E5 Are you aware of, or do you own any land that could have potential for new pitches? INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes ☐ No ☐

Please ask for details on where land/site is located and who owns the land/site?
F8 Why do you not travel anymore? INTERVIEWER: Cross all boxes that apply & probe for details
- Children in school
- Ill health
- Old age
- Settled now
- Nowhere to stop
- No work opportunities
- Other

If other, please specify

Details about children in school, types of ill health, or looking after relative with poor health, and specific problems/issues relating to old age

F9 Do you or other family members plan to travel in the future?
INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only
- Yes
- No
- Don’t know

Yes → Continue to F10
No → Go to G1
Don’t know → Go to G1

F10 When, and for what purpose do you/they plan to travel?

Details

F11 Is there anything else you would like to tell us about your travelling patterns?

Details
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G1  Any other information about this site or your accommodation needs?  
Please write in

Details (e.g. can current and future needs be met by expanding or intensifying the existing site?)

G2  Site/Pitch plan? Any concerns? INTERVIEWER: Please sketch & write in

Sketch of Site/Pitch — any concerns?

Are any adaptations needed?

Why does the current accommodation not meet the household’s needs; and could their needs could be addressed in situ e.g. extra caravans. This could cover people wanting to live with that household but who cannot currently
Bricks & Mortar Contacts

H1 Contacts for Bricks and Mortar interviews? INTERVIEWER: Please write in

Details

Council contact?

Would you like the council to contact you about any of the issues raised in this interview? Please note that although ORS will pass on your contact details to the Council we cannot guarantee when they will contact you?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes ☐ No ☐

INTERVIEWER: Can I confirm your name and telephone number so that we can pass them on to the Council for this purpose only. Your details will only be used for this purpose and will not be passed onto anyone else.

Respondent’s Name

Respondent’s Telephone

Respondent’s Email

Interview log

INTERVIEWER: Please record the date and time that the interview was carried out

Date

Time of Interview
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Household Growth Rates

Abstract and conclusions

1. National and local household formation and growth rates are important components of Gypsy and Traveller accommodation assessments, but little detailed work has been done to assess their likely scale. Nonetheless, nationally, a net growth rate of 3% per annum has been commonly assumed and widely used in local assessments – even though there is actually no statistical evidence of households growing so quickly. The result has been to inflate both national and local requirements for additional pitches unrealistically.

2. Those seeking to provide evidence of high annual net household growth rates for Gypsies and Travellers have sometimes sought to rely on increases in the number of caravans, as reflected in caravan counts. However, caravan count data are unreliable and erratic – so the only proper way to project future population and household growth is through demographic analysis (which, of course, is used to assess housing needs in the settled community).

3. The growth in the Gypsy and Traveller population may be as low as 1.25% per annum – a rate which is much less than the 3% per annum often assumed, but still at least four times greater than in the general population. Even using extreme and unrealistic assumptions, it is hard to find evidence that net Gypsy and Traveller population and household growth rates are above 2% per annum nationally.

4. The often assumed 3% per annum net household growth rate is unrealistic and would require clear statistical evidence before being used for planning purposes. In practice, the best available evidence supports a national net household growth rate of 1.5% per annum for Gypsies and Travellers.

5. Some local authorities might perhaps allow for a household growth rate of up to 2.5% per annum, to provide a ‘margin’ if their populations are relatively youthful; but in areas where on-site surveys indicate that there are fewer children in the Gypsy and Traveller communities, the lower estimate of 1.5% per annum should be used for planning purposes.

Introduction

6. The rate of household growth is a key element in all housing assessments, including Gypsy and Traveller accommodation assessments. Compared with the general population, the relative youthfulness of many Gypsy and Traveller populations means that their birth rates are likely to generate higher-than-average population growth, and proportionately higher gross household formation rates. However, while their gross rate of household growth might be high, Gypsy and Traveller communities’ future accommodation needs are, in practice, affected by any reduction in the number of households due to dissolution and/or by movements in/out of the area and/or by transfers into other forms of housing. Therefore, the net rate of household growth is the gross rate of formation minus any reductions in households due to such factors. Of course, it is the net rate that is important in determining future accommodation needs for Gypsies and Travellers.
7. In this context, it is a matter of concern that many Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs assessments have not distinguished gross and net growth rates nor provided evidence for their assumed rates of household increase. These deficiencies are particularly important because when assumed growth rates are unrealistically high, and then compounded over a number of planning years, they can yield exaggerated projections of accommodation needs and misdirect public policy. Nonetheless, assessments and guidance documents have assumed ‘standard’ net growth rates of about 3% without sufficiently recognising either the range of factors impacting on the gross household growth rates or the implications of unrealistic assumptions when projected forward on a compound basis year by year.

8. For example, in a study for the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister ('Local Authority Gypsy and Traveller Sites in England', 2003), Pat Niner concluded that net growth rates as high as 2%-3% per annum should be assumed. Similarly, the Regional Spatial Strategies (RSS) (which continued to be quoted after their abolition was announced in 2010) used net growth rates of 3% per annum without providing any evidence to justify the figure (For example, ‘Accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in the East of England: A Revision to the Regional Spatial Strategy for the East of England July 2009’).

9. However, the guidance of the Department of Communities and Local Government ('Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessments: Guidance', 2007) was much clearer in saying that:

   The 3% family formation growth rate is used here as an example only. The appropriate rate for individual assessments will depend on the details identified in the local survey, information from agencies working directly with local Gypsy and Traveller communities, and trends identified from figures previously given for the caravan count. [In footnote 6, page 25]

10. The guidance emphasises that local information and trends should always be taken into account – because the gross rate of household growth is moderated by reductions in households through dissolution and/or by households moving into bricks and mortar housing or moving to other areas. In other words, even if 3% is plausible as a gross growth rate, it is subject to moderation through such reductions in households through dissolution or moves. It is the resulting net household growth rate that matters for planning purposes in assessing future accommodation needs.

11. The current guidance also recognises that assessments should use local evidence for net future household growth rates. A letter from the Minister for Communities and Local Government (Brandon Lewis MP), to Andrew Selous MP (placed in the House of Commons library on March 26th 2014) said:

   I can confirm that the annual growth rate figure of 3% does not represent national planning policy.

   The previous Administration’s guidance for local authorities on carrying out Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessments under the Housing Act 2004 is unhelpful in that it uses an illustrative example of calculating future accommodation need based on the 3% growth rate figure. The guidance notes that the appropriate rate for individual assessments will depend on the details identified in the local authority’s own assessment of need. As such the Government is not endorsing or supporting the 3% growth rate figure,’
12. Therefore, while there are many assessments where a national Gypsy and Traveller household growth rate of 3% per annum has been assumed (on the basis of ‘standard’ precedent and/or guidance), there is little to justify this position and it conflicts with current planning guidance. In this context, this document seeks to integrate available evidence about net household growth rates in order to provide a more robust basis for future assessments.

**Compound growth**

13. The assumed rate of household growth is crucially important for Gypsy and Traveller studies because for future planning purposes it is projected over time on a compound basis – so errors are progressively enlarged. For example, if an assumed 3% net growth rate is compounded each year then the implication is that the number of households will double in only 23.5 years; whereas if a net compound rate of 1.5% is used then the doubling of household numbers would take 46.5 years. The table below shows the impact of a range of compound growth rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Growth Rate per Annum</th>
<th>Time Taken for Household to Double</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>23.5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75%</td>
<td>25.5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50%</td>
<td>28 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25%</td>
<td>31 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>35 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.75%</td>
<td>40 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50%</td>
<td>46.5 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. The above analysis is vivid enough, but another illustration of how different rates of household growth impact on total numbers over time is shown in the table below – which uses a baseline of 100 households while applying different compound growth rates over time. After 5 years, the difference between a 1.5% growth rate and a 3% growth rate is only 8 households (116 minus 108); but with a 20-year projection the difference is 46 households (181 minus 135).

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Growth Rate per Annum</th>
<th>5 years</th>
<th>10 years</th>
<th>15 years</th>
<th>20 years</th>
<th>50 years</th>
<th>100 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>1,922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75%</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>1,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50%</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>1,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25%</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.75%</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50%</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In summary, the assumed rate of household growth is crucially important because any exaggerations are magnified when the rate is projected over time on a compound basis. As we have shown, when compounded and projected over the years, a 3% annual rate of household growth implies much larger future Gypsy and Traveller accommodation requirements than a 1.5% per annum rate.

### Caravan counts

Those seeking to demonstrate national Gypsy and Traveller household growth rates of 3% or more per annum have, in some cases, relied on increases in the number of caravans (as reflected in caravan counts) as their evidence. For example, some planning agents have suggested using 5-year trends in the national caravan count as an indication of the general rate of Gypsy and Traveller household growth. For example, the count from July 2008 to July 2013 shows a growth of 19% in the number of caravans on-site – which is equivalent to an average annual compound growth rate of 3.5%. So, if plausible, this approach could justify using a 3% or higher annual household growth rate in projections of future needs.

However, caravan count data are unreliable and erratic. For example, the July 2013 caravan count was distorted by the inclusion of 1,000 caravans (5% of the total in England) recorded at a Christian event near Weston-Super-Mare in North Somerset. Not only was this only an estimated number, but there were no checks carried out to establish how many caravans were occupied by Gypsies and Travellers. Therefore, the resulting count overstates the Gypsy and Traveller population and also the rate of household growth.

ORS has applied the caravan-counting methodology hypothetically to calculate the implied national household growth rates for Gypsies and Travellers over the last 15 years, and the outcomes are shown in the table below. The January 2013 count suggests an average annual growth rate of 1.6% over five years, while the July 2013 count gives an average 5-year rate of 3.5%; likewise a study benchmarked at January 2004 would yield a growth rate of 1%, while one benchmarked at January 2008 would imply a 5% rate of growth. Clearly any model as erratic as this is not appropriate for future planning.

### Table 3

**National CLG Caravan Count July 1998 to July 2014 with Growth Rates (Source: CLG)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Number of caravans</th>
<th>5 year growth in caravans</th>
<th>Percentage growth over 5 years</th>
<th>Annual over last 5 years.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2015</td>
<td>20,123</td>
<td>1,735</td>
<td>9.54%</td>
<td>1.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2014</td>
<td>20,035</td>
<td>2,598</td>
<td>14.90%</td>
<td>2.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2014</td>
<td>19,503</td>
<td>1,638</td>
<td>9.17%</td>
<td>1.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2013</td>
<td>20,911</td>
<td>3,339</td>
<td>19.00%</td>
<td>3.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2013</td>
<td>19,359</td>
<td>1,515</td>
<td>8.49%</td>
<td>1.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2012</td>
<td>19,261</td>
<td>2,112</td>
<td>12.32%</td>
<td>2.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2012</td>
<td>18,746</td>
<td>2,135</td>
<td>12.85%</td>
<td>2.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2011</td>
<td>18,571</td>
<td>2,258</td>
<td>13.84%</td>
<td>2.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2011</td>
<td>18,383</td>
<td>2,637</td>
<td>16.75%</td>
<td>3.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2010</td>
<td>18,134</td>
<td>2,271</td>
<td>14.32%</td>
<td>2.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2010</td>
<td>18,370</td>
<td>3,001</td>
<td>19.53%</td>
<td>3.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2009</td>
<td>17,437</td>
<td>2,318</td>
<td>15.33%</td>
<td>2.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2009</td>
<td>17,865</td>
<td>3,503</td>
<td>24.39%</td>
<td>4.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2008</td>
<td>17,572</td>
<td>2,872</td>
<td>19.54%</td>
<td>3.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2008</td>
<td>17,844</td>
<td>3,895</td>
<td>27.92%</td>
<td>5.05%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19. The annual rate of growth in the number of caravans varies from slightly over 1% to just over 5% per annum. We would note that if longer time periods are used the figures do become more stable. Over the 36 year period 1979 (the start of the caravan counts) to 2015 the compound growth rate in caravan numbers has been 2.5% per annum.

20. However, there is no reason to assume that these widely varying rates correspond with similar rates of increase in the household population. In fact, the highest rates of caravan growth occurred between 2006 and 2009, when the first wave of Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs assessments were being undertaken – so it seems plausible that the assessments prompted the inclusion of additional sites and caravans (which may have been there, but not counted previously). Counting caravan numbers is very poor proxy for Gypsy and Traveller household growth. Caravans counted are not always occupied by Gypsy and Traveller families and numbers of caravans held by families may increase generally as affluence and economic conditions improve, (but without a growth in households).

21. There is no reason to believe that the varying rates of increase in the number of caravans are matched by similar growth rates in the household population. The caravan count is not an appropriate planning guide and the only proper way to project future population and household growth is through demographic analysis – which should consider both population and household growth rates. This approach is not appropriate to needs studies for the following reasons:

Modelling population growth

Introduction

22. The basic equation for calculating the rate of Gypsy and Traveller population growth seems simple: start with the base population and then calculate the average increase/decrease by allowing for births, deaths and in-/out-migration. Nevertheless, deriving satisfactory estimates is difficult because the evidence is often tenuous – so, in this context, ORS has modelled the growth of the national Gypsy and Traveller population based on the most likely birth and death rates, and by using PopGroup (the leading software for
population and household forecasting). To do so, we have supplemented the available national statistical sources with data derived locally (from our own surveys) and in some cases from international research. None of the supplementary data are beyond question, and none will stand alone; but, when taken together they have cumulative force. In any case the approach we adopt is more critically self-aware than simply adopting ‘standard’ rates on the basis of precedent.

Migration effects

Population growth is affected by national net migration and local migration (as Gypsies and Travellers move from one area to another). In terms of national migration, the population of Gypsies and Travellers is relatively fixed, with little international migration. It is in principle possible for Irish Travellers (based in Ireland) to move to the UK, but there is no evidence of this happening to a significant extent and the vast majority of Irish Travellers were born in the UK or are long-term residents. In relation to local migration effects, Gypsies and Travellers can and do move between local authorities – but in each case the in-migration to one area is matched by an out-migration from another area. Since it is difficult to estimate the net effect of such movements over local plan periods, ORS normally assumes that there will be nil net migration to/from an area. Nonetheless, where it is possible to estimate specific in-/out- migration effects, we take account of them, while distinguishing between migration and household formation effects.

Population profile

The main source for the rate of Gypsy and Traveller population growth is the UK 2011 Census. In some cases the data can be supplemented by ORS’s own household survey data which is derived from more than 2,000 face-to-face interviews with Gypsies and Travellers since 2012. The ethnicity question in the 2011 census included for the first time ‘Gypsy and Irish Traveller’ as a specific category. While non-response bias probably means that the size of the population was underestimated, the age profile the census provides is not necessarily distorted and matches the profile derived from ORS’s extensive household surveys.

The age profile is important, as the table below (derived from census data) shows. Even assuming zero deaths in the population, achieving an annual population growth of 3% (that is, doubling in size every 23.5 years) would require half of the “year one” population to be aged under 23.5 years. When deaths are accounted for (at a rate of 0.5% per annum), to achieve the same rate of growth, a population of Gypsies and Travellers would need about half its members to be aged under 16 years. In fact, though, the 2011 census shows that the midway age point for the national Gypsy and Traveller population is 26 years – so the population could not possibly double in 23.5 years.

Table 4
Age Profile for the Gypsy and Traveller Community in England (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Number of People</th>
<th>Cumulative Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age 0 to 4</td>
<td>5,725</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 5 to 7</td>
<td>3,219</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 8 to 9</td>
<td>2,006</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 10 to 14</td>
<td>5,431</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 15</td>
<td>1,089</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 16 to 17</td>
<td>2,145</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 18 to 19</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Birth and fertility rates

26. The table above provides a way of understanding the rate of population growth through births. The table shows that surviving children aged 0-4 years comprise 10.4% of the Gypsy and Traveller population – which means that, on average, 2.1% of the total population was born each year (over the last 5 years). The same estimate is confirmed if we consider that those aged 0-14 comprise 29.8% of the Gypsy and Traveller population – which also means that almost exactly 2% of the population was born each year. (Deaths during infancy will have minimal impact within the early age groups, so the data provides the best basis for estimating of the birth rate for the Gypsy and Traveller population.)

27. The total fertility rate (TFR) for the whole UK population is just below 2 – which means that on average each woman can be expected to have just less than two children who reach adulthood. We know of only one estimate of the fertility rates of the UK Gypsy and Traveller community. This is contained in the book, ‘Ethnic identity and inequalities in Britain: The dynamics of diversity’ by Dr Stephen Jivraj and Professor Ludi Simpson published in May 2015. This draws on the 2011 Census data and provides an estimated total fertility rate of 2.75 for the Gypsy and traveller community.

28. ORS’s have been able to examine our own survey data to investigate the fertility rate of Gypsy and Traveller women. The ORS data shows that, on average, Gypsy and Traveller women aged 32 years have 2.5 children (but, because the children of mothers above this age point tend to leave home progressively, full TFRs were not completed). On this basis it is reasonable to assume an average of three children per woman during her lifetime which would be consistent with the evidence from the 2011 Census of a figure of around 2.75 children per woman. In any case, the TFR for women aged 24 years is 1.5 children, which is significantly short of the number needed to double the population in 23.5 years – and therefore certainly implies a net growth rate of less than 3% per annum.

Death rates

29. Although the above data imply an annual growth rate through births of about 2%, the death rate has also to be taken into account – which means that the net population growth cannot conceivably achieve 2% per annum.
annum. In England and Wales there are nearly half-a-million deaths each year – about 0.85% of the total population of 56.1 million in 2011. If this death rate is applied to the Gypsy and Traveller community then the resulting projected growth rate is in the region of 1.15%-1.25% per annum.

30. However, the Gypsy and Traveller population is significantly younger than average and may be expected to have a lower percentage death rate overall (even though a smaller than average proportion of the population lives beyond 68 to 70 years). While there can be no certainty, an assumed death rate of around 0.5% to 0.6% per annum would imply a net population growth rate of around 1.5% per annum.

31. Even though the population is younger and has a lower death rate than average, Gypsies and Travellers are less likely than average to live beyond 68 to 70 years. Whereas the average life expectancy across the whole population of the UK is currently just over 80 years, a Sheffield University study found that Gypsy and Traveller life expectancy is about 10-12 years less than average (Parry et al (2004) ‘The Health Status of Gypsies and Travellers: Report of Department of Health Inequalities in Health Research Initiative’, University of Sheffield). Therefore, in our population growth modelling we have used a conservative estimate of average life expectancy as 72 years – which is entirely consistent with the lower-than-average number of Gypsies and Travellers aged over 70 years in the 2011 census (and also in ORS’s own survey data). On the basis of the Sheffield study, we could have supposed a life expectancy of only 68, but we have been cautious in our approach.

Modelling outputs

32. If we assume a TFR of 3 and an average life expectancy of 72 years for Gypsies and Travellers, then the modelling projects the population to increase by 66% over the next 40 years – implying a population compound growth rate of 1.25% per annum (well below the 3% per annum often assumed). If we assume that Gypsy and Traveller life expectancy increases to 77 years by 2050, then the projected population growth rate rises to nearly 1.5% per annum. To generate an ‘upper range’ rate of population growth, we have assumed a TFR of 4 and an average life expectancy rising to 77 over the next 40 years – which then yields an ‘upper range’ growth rate of 1.9% per annum. We should note, though, that national TFR rates of 4 are currently found only in sub-Saharan Africa and Afghanistan, so it is an implausible assumption.

33. There are indications that these modelling outputs are well founded. For example, in the ONS’s 2012-based Sub-National Population Projections the projected population growth rate for England to 2037 is 0.6% per annum, of which 60% is due to natural change and 40% due to migration. Therefore, the natural population growth rate for England is almost exactly 0.35% per annum – meaning that our estimate of the Gypsy and Traveller population growth rate is four times greater than that of the general population of England.

34. The ORS Gypsy and Traveller findings are also supported by data for comparable populations around the world. As noted, on the basis of sophisticated analysis, Hungary is planning for its Roma population to grow at around 2.0% per annum, but the underlying demographic growth is typically closer to 1.5% per annum. The World Bank estimates that the populations of Bolivia, Cambodia, Egypt, Malaysia, Pakistan, Paraguay, Philippines and Venezuela (countries with high birth rates and improving life expectancy) all show population growth rates of around 1.7% per annum. Therefore, in the context of national data, ORS’s modelling and plausible international comparisons, it is implausible to assume a net 3% annual growth rate for the Gypsy and Traveller population.
Household growth

35. In addition to population growth influencing the number of households, the size of households also affects the number. Hence, population and household growth rates do not necessarily match directly, mainly due to the current tendency for people to live in smaller (childless or single person) households (including, of course, older people (following divorce or as surviving partners)). Based on such factors, the CLG 2012-based projections convert current population data to a projected household growth rate of 0.85% per annum (compared with a population growth rate of 0.6% per annum).

36. Because the Gypsy and Traveller population is relatively young and has many single parent households, a 1.5% annual population growth could yield higher-than-average household growth rates, particularly if average household sizes fall or if younger-than-average households form. However, while there is evidence that Gypsy and Traveller households already form at an earlier age than in the general population, the scope for a more rapid rate of growth, through even earlier household formation, is limited.

37. Based on the 2011 census, the table below compares the age of household representatives in English households with those in Gypsy and Traveller households – showing that the latter has many more household representatives aged under-25 years. In the general English population 3.6% of household representatives are aged 16-24, compared with 8.7% in the Gypsy and Traveller population. Because the census includes both housed and on-site Gypsies and Travellers without differentiation, it is not possible to know if there are different formation rates on sites and in housing. However, ORS’s survey data (for sites in areas such as Central Bedfordshire, Cheshire, Essex, Gloucestershire and a number of authorities in Hertfordshire) shows that about 10% of Gypsy and Traveller households have household representatives aged under-25 years.

Table 5
Age of Head of Household (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of household representative</th>
<th>All households in England</th>
<th>Gypsy and Traveller households in England</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of households</td>
<td>Percentage of households</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 24 and under</td>
<td>790,974</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 25 to 34</td>
<td>3,158,258</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 35 to 49</td>
<td>6,563,651</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 50 to 64</td>
<td>5,828,761</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 65 to 74</td>
<td>2,764,474</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 75 to 84</td>
<td>2,097,807</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 85 and over</td>
<td>859,443</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22,063,368</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
38. The following table shows that the proportion of single person Gypsy and Traveller households is not dissimilar to the wider population of England; but there are more lone parents, fewer couples without children, and fewer households with non-dependent children amongst Gypsies and Travellers. This data suggest that Gypsy and Traveller households form at an earlier age than the general population.

**Table 6**

*Household Type (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>All households in England</th>
<th>Gypsy and Traveller households in England</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of households</td>
<td>Percentage of households</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single person</td>
<td>6,666,493</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple with no children</td>
<td>5,681,847</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple with dependent children</td>
<td>4,266,670</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple with non-dependent children</td>
<td>1,342,841</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lone parent: Dependent children</td>
<td>1,573,255</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lone parent: All children non-dependent</td>
<td>766,569</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other households</td>
<td>1,765,693</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>22,063,368</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

39. ORS’s own site survey data is broadly compatible with the data above. We have found that: around 50% of pitches have dependent children compared with 45% in the census; there is a high proportion of lone parents; and about a fifth of Gypsy and Traveller households appear to be single person households. One possible explanation for the census finding a higher proportion of single person households than the ORS surveys is that many older households are living in bricks and mortar housing (perhaps for health-related reasons).

40. ORS’s on-site surveys have also found more female than male residents. It is possible that some single person households were men linked to lone parent females and unwilling to take part in the surveys. A further possible factor is that at any time about 10% of the male Gypsy and Traveller population is in prison – an inference drawn from the fact that about 5% of the male prison population identify themselves as Gypsies and Travellers (‘People in Prison: Gypsies, Romany and Travellers’, Her Majesty’s Inspectorate of Prisons, February 2004) – which implies that around 4,000 Gypsies and Travellers are in prison. Given that almost all of the 4,000 people are male and that there are around 200,000 Gypsies and Travellers in total, this equates to about 4% of the total male population, but closer to 10% of the adult male population.

41. The key point, though, is that since 20% of Gypsy and Traveller households are lone parents, and up to 30% are single persons, there is limited potential for further reductions in average household size to increase current household formation rates significantly – and there is no reason to think that earlier household formations or increasing divorce rates will in the medium term affect household formation rates. While there are differences with the general population, a 1.5% per annum Gypsy and Traveller population...
growth rate is likely to lead to a household growth rate of 1.5% per annum – more than the 0.85% for the English population as a whole, but much less than the often assumed 3% rate for Gypsies and Travellers.

### Household dissolution rates

Finally, consideration of household dissolution rates also suggests that the net household growth rate for Gypsies and Travellers is very unlikely to reach 3% per annum (as often assumed). The table below, derived from ORS’s mainstream strategic housing market assessments, shows that generally household dissolution rates are between 1.0% and 1.7% per annum. London is different because people tend to move out upon retirement, rather than remaining in London until death. To adopt a 1.0% dissolution rate as a standard guide nationally would be too low, because it means that average households will live for 70 years after formation. A 1.5% dissolution rate would be a more plausible as a national guide, implying that average households live for 47 years after formation.

**Table 7**

**Annual Dissolution Rates (Source: SHMAs undertaken by ORS)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Annual projected household dissolution</th>
<th>Number of households</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greater London</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>3,266,173</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blaenau Gwent</td>
<td>468.2</td>
<td>30,416</td>
<td>1.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradford</td>
<td>3,355</td>
<td>199,296</td>
<td>1.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceredigion</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>31,562</td>
<td>1.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exeter, East Devon, Mid Devon, Teignbridge and Torbay</td>
<td>4,318</td>
<td>254,084</td>
<td>1.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neath Port Talbot</td>
<td>1,352</td>
<td>57,609</td>
<td>2.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwich, South Norfolk and Broadland</td>
<td>1,626</td>
<td>166,464</td>
<td>0.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk Coastal</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>53,558</td>
<td>1.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouthshire Newport Torfaen</td>
<td>1,420</td>
<td>137,929</td>
<td>1.03%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 1.5% dissolution rate is important because the death rate is a key factor in moderating the gross household growth rate. Significantly, applying a 1.5% dissolution rate to a 3% gross household growth formation rate yields a net rate of 1.5% per annum – which ORS considers is a realistic figure for the Gypsy and Traveller population and which is in line with other demographic information. After all, based on the dissolution rate, a net household formation rate of 3% per annum would require a 4.5% per annum gross formation rate (which in turn would require extremely unrealistic assumptions about birth rates).

### Summary conclusions

Future Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs have typically been over-estimated because population and household growth rates have been projected on the basis of assumed 3% per annum net growth rates.

Unreliable caravan counts have been used to support the supposed growth rate, but there is no reason to suppose that the rate of increase in caravans corresponds to the annual growth of the Gypsy and Traveller population or households.
46. The growth of the national Gypsy and Traveller population may be as low as 1.25% per annum – which is still four times greater than in the settled community. Even using extreme and unrealistic assumptions, it is hard to find evidence that the net national Gypsy and Traveller population and household growth is above 2% per annum nationally. The often assumed 3% net household growth rate per annum for Gypsies and Travellers is unrealistic.

47. The best available evidence suggests that the net annual Gypsy and Traveller household growth rate is 1.5% per annum. The often assumed 3% per annum net rate is unrealistic. Some local authorities might allow for a household growth rate of up to 2.5% per annum, to provide a ‘margin’ if their populations are relatively youthful; but in areas where on-site surveys indicate that there are fewer children in the Gypsy and Traveller population, the lower estimate of 1.5% per annum should be used.